
Is Zionism the ideology of The New World Order?

By Lasse Wilhelmson

2008-04-11

There is a debate currently taking place in Sweden concerning the national institute, Forum for Living History (FFLH). It was established by the social democrats when they were in power in 2003. Its, probably unique, undertaking is to spread knowledge and to engage predominantly young people, for "democracy, tolerance and human rights with the Holocaust as the starting point" and to create awareness of the threat posed by so-called anti-Semitism. "The Story Must be Told" they say, so that it will never occur again. Hitherto however, the FFLH has not concerned itself with The Palestinian catastrophe, Al Nakba.

The FFLH mainly supervises projects in schools and carries out dubious opinion polls (<http://altahrir.blogspot.com/2006/04/are-40-percent-of-all-swedes-anti.html>) among the general public. It also cooperates quite overtly and shamelessly with the Zionist propaganda institute Middle East Media Research Institute -- MEMRI. The head is Yigal Carmon who worked for the Israeli intelligence service 1968-88 and now appears as an expert on Anti-Semitism in Arabic and Iranian press for a Swedish governmental organisation (<http://jinge.se/index.php/allmant/forum-for-levande-historia.htm>). Hence, we have here a unique example of the production of Zionist ideology, authorised by the state and spread through official government campaigns including large amounts of ready-made material, free of charge.

FFLH has recently been commissioned by the present conservative government to carry out a new school project in 2008, concerning oppression and terror during communist regimes, mainly those of the Soviet Union, China and Cambodia.

Researchers of history have protested in an appeal, saying among other things, "(we) nurture a growing concern that history, as a school subject, is being turned into a battlefield for the government's ideological campaigns and that the openness and critical attitudes FFLH purports to stimulate, are being threatened". They further question whether it is "the task of the government to replace the normal teaching of history with campaign history, along with issuing detailed directions as to how history should be interpreted and used." The appeal ends with a quotation from the campaign's instructions for teachers. "In a dictatorship, the way history is told always aims at serving the state". And, lastly, the researchers pose a rhetorical question, wondering what conclusions of all this the pupils risk making.

This initiative is commendable, but less so than if the appeal had been made when the FFLH was starting up. There have been no appeal from researchers until communism came next on the agenda for scrutiny. And why is al Nakba not among the genocides and persecutions referred to by the protesting historians? There seems to be an agreement between them and FFLH. The Story of Al Nakba Must not be Told. Obviously, the political Left must take some responsibility for this.

An illustrative example is the Swedish communist party's foremost spokesman on the situation in Palestine. He carries out his political work in an exclusive Jewish Zionist "organisation for peace", although as a Marxist he ought to be both an atheist and anti-Zionist. In the organisation he takes upon himself to fight "anti-Semitism" within the solidarity movement for Palestine, a movement that willingly works together with Jewish leftwing Zionists and allows them to set the agenda; as they say themselves, so as not to risk being accused of "anti-Semitism".

The result of this cooperation is that issues of the Palestinians inalienable right to return from expulsion, the Jewish state, the Israeli lobby, the Ziocons, and Zionism become so-called non-questions, not only for the Palestine solidarity movement but for all anti-imperialists, for whom oil becomes the sole factor that causes all the evil in the world. This ideological Zionising of the western world is essential for carrying on neo-colonial wars, especially those against Islam and the Arab world.

In Sweden's largest daily tabloid newspaper, Expressen, however, a prominent liberal person of Rumanian Jewish ancestry, criticises the newly launched FFLH campaign against communism. In answer to this, I submitted the article "Comparing Zionism with Fascism is Quite in Order", see below. A week passed, and I received the answer that the editor had had no success in trying to engage more

people in the debate, not surprisingly, and that " your article as you will understand, is no longer of current interest".

No other newspaper or magazine has published the article and several have said that they find it too controversial, or that they lack the expertise needed to scrutinise the article which, despite this, they all find "very interesting and well written." I could not in my wildest dreams have imagined the creativity of "radical" editors. They put forward incredibly far-fetched apologies for not publishing the article. Apologies that went against all the publicist principles they claim to adhere to.

Here follows the article:

Comparing Zionism with Fascism Is Quite in Order

On the 17th of March 2008, in the tabloid newspaper Expressen entitled "Comparing Communism with Fascism is quite in order", Ana Maria Narti discusses the connection between ideology and practice in Communism. She maintains that Forum for Living History (FFLH) refuses to see this link, thus causing confusion rather than enlightenment. The reason behind this is that the leading campaign researcher is of the opinion that there can be no comparison between Communism and Fascism, because there was "much light" in the Communist utopia.

I am inclined to agree with Narti's criticism, but it also applies to Fascism, or National Socialism, whose totalitarian ideologies also contained "much light". I would go as far as to say that this is typical of all so-called ideologies, this inclusion of "much light", and that, because of this, it is vital to make the connection to their role in society before arriving at a reasonable assessment of their merits. Not the least, because ideologies are always used to justify "much darkness".

I am personally sceptical of all ideologies. They consist of locked dogmas that leave no room for people's own thoughts, thus making them easier to rule and control. The significance of the media and of education as producers of ideology in today's global world can hardly be overstated in this context. Governments also realise this, FFLH itself is an example. This should, however, in principle, make us cautious. Independent institutes would be preferable for historical research and we already have a form for political expression in the right to build parties and in our parliamentary liberal democracy.

Narti's article touches on the important question of the method the Swedish people choose to gain knowledge of history for purposes of prevention ie so that they are able to recognise totalitarian ideologies before it is too late, by looking at their role in society. The story must be told in order for us to prevent the recurrence of atrocities to human nature. So let us get to the roots of not only Communism but also of Zionism, another ideology born in the middle of the nineteenth century.

The fundamentals of Zionism were laid down by Moses Hess, called the communist rabbi. He was one of Germany's earliest renowned socialists and Karl Marx's mentor in his search for socialism. He is considered by Zionists as the first Zionist and wrote the book "Rome and Jerusalem" (1862). In the book Hess emphasises the Jewish "race" as superior and chosen, and the Jewish religion as the best guarantee for Jewish nationality.

Theodor Hertzl is usually considered to be Zionism's founder. Later on, he referred to Hess's book as the one that says everything worth saying about Zionism. Hertzl presented a plan for the colonisation of Palestine in his book "The Jewish State" (1896), which was affirmed at the first Zionist congress in 1897. The Jewish "race" is seen as a people with a right to their own state in Palestine, the location of Mount Zion. The goal is a Socialist Utopia - a model state - with "light" similar to that of Communism.

The Zionist Project was further developed by Ber Beorochov who argued "territorial concentration" as a solution to the Jewish question. He founded Poale Zion, the Marxist Zionist Party which supported the Russian Revolution in 1917. Ben Gurion, one of the Party members and Israel's founder, came to Palestine at the beginning of the twentieth century. He considered himself a Bolshevik and was in favour of the dictatorship of the proletariat in all countries, except Palestine where he favoured the dictatorship of Zionism. What, then, are the practical politics of Zionism?

The Zionist slogan 'A land without people to a people without land' has engineered the Jewish colonisation of Palestine for a hundred years or more. To realise its goal, a 'Jewish State', there is a need for a substantial majority of Jews, hence the ethnic cleansing of the people who originally lived there, a so-called lebensraum. Israel is, therefore, essentially a racist state. Jewish superiority is maintained by a system of apartheid, inherent in laws, administration and religion. To this day, Israel lacks a constitution and official borders, entirely in keeping with Zionism's demand for more land.

Of what was originally Palestine, 85 percent has been stolen or annexed, and the rest is occupied. In 1948, 800 000 Palestinians were driven off or fled the country, and are denied their right to return, a right laid down by the UN. The Palestinians call this the catastrophe - Al Nakba. The West Bank consists of walled-in enclaves which are controlled by hundreds of checkpoints and Gaza has become the largest open-air prison/ghetto in the world, blockaded and suffering starvation. About 10 000 Palestinians, many of them children, are in Israeli jails without trials or judgements. Israel's dealings with the Palestinians amount to what is formally named genocide.

Zionism puts together "Race", People, Nation and "the Chosen" to make a National Socialist version of colonialism - "lebensraum" and "blut und boden" - and it existed as early as the nineteenth century. Later, German National Socialism was created with the same ideological components and with similar policies for society. If Nazism is the Germans' version of National Socialism, then Zionism is the Jews'.

I too, like Hitler, believe in the power of the blood idea"

This is written by Chaim Nachman Bailik, Israel's national poet, in "The Present Hour" (1934).

The crimes committed by Zionism are the result of the Zionist ideology. This story should be told, Forum for Living History.

Täby, 19th March 2008

Lasse Wilhelmson

Partakes in the debate about the situation in the Middle East. Member of the local government of Täby for 23 years, 4 of which in an executive position. Lived in Israel for a few years in the early 1960:s

Postscript

Sending the article to many newspapers and private persons in Sweden caused quite a commotion, with comments such as "but Lasse, you turn everything upside down?" or "you must have known you can't write things like that..". This is rather ironic, considering I have written other articles from 2003 and onwards with exactly the same content, but perhaps this time the result was all too obvious. It would seem that the reader resists taking in the facts presented, thus inhibiting thoughts from grasping the content.

Those close to me say I should be careful about what I say and write, even if it is true, because people can take offence and become sad. You know better than anyone how sensitive the "Jewish taboo" is, they say to me. Leftwing people try to convince me that Zionism is just an invention of imperialism and that my articles contain "racist conspiracy theories". Believe it or not, I have even been told that the issues I raise lack relevance because Jews do not really exist. That is like saying that Nazism lacks relevance because Germans do not really exist.

The Left's indulgence towards Zionism is probably not just an expression of the fear of having "anti-Semitic" smeared all over it, or of the limitations of Marxism. Perhaps the common ground shared by the Left and Zionism is finally coming to light. It is hardly a coincidence that many neo-conservative Jewish Zionists used to be Trotskyites, or that Wall Street financed the overthrow of the Bolsheviks in Russia. Could it be, in fact, that Karl Marx and Moses Hess developed two branches of the same project, back then in the middle of the 19th century when political ideologies were beginning to take form?

The mentality of the western world, evolved since the second world war and ultimately legitimising criminal neo-colonial wars, is that "we" must defend ourselves against "them" by waging preventive

warfare in the service of democracy. Our noble goals give us the moral right to treat "them", the terrorists who are all that are against us, with no concern for existing laws or international human rights. We place ourselves above these "terrorists". To protect our own safety, we even agree to diminish our own human rights, a dire necessity, in this noble fight for humanity. This is how it goes, this upside down morality. Racist tribe mentality for the chosen are the morals of The New World Order, totally at odds with humanity and international solidarity.

May what happened to the Jews in the Holocaust never happen again, it is the opposite of the democracy our boys and girls are risking their lives for, in peace-keeping missions around the world. "The Story Must be Told" and we must not question it, not even remotely. Those who do, are correctly imprisoned. How can they make a mockery of the dead and their families. Here, if anywhere, freedom of speech has its limits. Let us defend the Jewish state from being annihilated. Never again let "anti-Semitism" raise its ugly head. Leave no new Hitler alone, even if he happens to be a Muslim.

Hence this western mentality merges into a political ideology, or rather into a kind of religion that controls and rules the thoughts of people. In the New World Order, the Holocaust is the new church. That is why anyone who is against us is actually an "anti-Semite" and must be imprisoned together with the "Holocaust-deniers". FFLH in Sweden is one example of all these churches. Questioning the authority of this church is thus today the biggest of all crimes that can be committed against the New World Order.

Zionism is shown here as increasingly becoming the important tool of the New World Order. If or when its model state Israel has served its purpose, it will be sacrificed by the Power Elite who will develop the ideology needed for a single Big Brother State which will "save" the world. Crises of population and environment are already being launched to support the arguments for such a development.

Humanity is, perhaps, entering a new stage of development. A stage when people's thoughts are becoming increasingly collective, a result of migration and the possibilities created by communicating.

We are facing a fight for human thought. Obstacles and taboos that limit it must be deconstructed. Human thought must be liberated if it is to collectively achieve the international solidarity needed to confront the Power Elite's Big Brother society and cause its acolytes to disperse.

Lasse Wilhelmson

lasse.wilhelmson@bostream.nu

Also by Lasse Wilhelmson:

1. Zionism as Jewish National Socialism
<http://www.israelshamir.net/Contributors/wilhelmson.htm>
2. "Anti-Semitism" as a Political Weapon
http://www.marwenmedia.com/articles_images/LWanti-semitism.html
3. Solidarity with Palestine in Sweden
http://www.palestinechronicle.com/view_article_details.php?id=12278

Lasse Wilhelmson

2008-04-11

[PDF "printout"](#)

Links to this page, html, pdf:

http://blog.lege.net/content/Is_Zionism_the_ideology_of_The_NWO.html

http://blog.lege.net/content/Is_Zionism_the_ideology_of_The_NWO.pdf
