


Date: Fri, 4 May 2007 12:49:21 -0700 (PDT)
From: "Sue Arrigo" <intuitivemd@yahoo.com>  [View Contact Details](#)  [Add Mobile Alert](#)
Subject: Web-letter to HENRY WAXMAN OF THE GOVT OVERSIGHT AND REFORM COM.
To: intuitivemd@yahoo.com

Sent to <http://oversight.house.gov/contact.asp>

Thank you so much for your integrity and hard work.

As a former Special Op Advisor to DCI Tenet, I would like to testify before your committee with respect to what was known about Iraq war intelligence before the invasion in 2003. Since I was a remote viewer with expertise in finding and recovering missing weapons, I was asked on several occasions in 1989 through 2004 to evaluate what WMDs Iraq had. Remote viewers are usually about 90% accurate (see the Seventh Sense by military remoter viewer Lyn Buchanan), and I had been a trainer in remote viewing since 1969. Each remote viewer is tracked for accuracy over time and their ratings published inside of military-intelligence. President Clinton declassified remote viewing in 1995. While my memory of the details may not be perfect, the gist of what I report below is correct.

The truth of CIA deceit is further complicated by the fact that the CIA sold Hussein arms to invade Kuwait. The contract on that shipment of weapons was one I looked at closely. The fine print gave Hussein a 10% discount if he would invade earlier, in the beginning of the 1990. Because nuclear weapons parts were not on the invoice, but I could "see" that they were packed into the crates, I went down to the dock and got them taken out. It was clear to me that the US was trying to frame Hussein as having nuclear weapons. That shipment of arms that included tanks and heavy artillery, did not reach Hussein in time for his invasion, even though he was "late" in launching it. It was "lost" at the US Navy's station in Naples for over a year.

In Iraq War #1, I was the CIA's remote viewing coordinator for intelligence starting a few days before the ground war and until it was over. During that time I was responsible for the remote viewing to check that the information that General Schwarzkopf got from the CIA was accurate. As the US ground forces pushed into Kuwait they uncovered chemical weapons that the US had sold to Hussein. Those reports went through my hands. Also, the interrogation reports on an Iraqi officer came across my desk in which he gave details about Iraq's WMDs. I was asked to confirm or deny what he said based on remote viewing. To confirm my viewing data, I tracked down at the CIA documents to confirm his allegations that the US had sold biological weapons to Hussein that any reasonable person would have objected to. Since I am a physician in my non-covert life, I was deeply concerned about the specifics of this sale. That sale occurred while Bush, Sr. was President, and was not for the Iran-Iraq war that was already over. The timing of the private sale which Bush, Sr. had approved was the same as Hussein's order of the

arms which the CIA did know were ordered to invade Kuwait. I then checked further and found a CIA document that referenced a conversation with President Bush, Sr. It showed that he was aware, before he authorized the sale, of the risk that those biological weapons might be used against Kuwait. Puzzled that he authorized that sale, I was able to uncover in the CIA the name of the company selling the Bio-warfare agents. It was a company that had financial ties to a company owned by Bush, Sr. A 2.4 million dollar transfer of money between the two companies occurring on the day after Bush, Sr. made the authorization was highly suspicious as there had been no movement of money between the two companies in the preceding 8 months or so.

In about May of 1999, while Clinton was in office I was asked by the Council on Foreign Relations by way of DCCI McLaughlin to forecast the result of a US war in Iraq. I spent two months and wrote a 28 page report on my finding. In my conclusions, I listed an increased risk of nuclear war and of starting WWII as a reason that I advised against such an enterprise. That it was immoral to frame Iraq as having WMD by selling them to them first I listed in a footnote, because I couldn't get it past the CIA reviewers any other way. That report was declassified in order to give it to the CFR.

The issue did not die. A few months later I was asked to re-submit my report with different conclusions. I spent almost 6 months on this second report. In it I included CIA statistics on the conventional weapons and WMDs that Hussein had, and my own remote viewed findings. Shortly after I submitted my report, Tenet still not liking my findings and recommendations not to go to war in Iraq, asked other remote viewers to research it. These were 3 of my students in remote viewing, and the students picked for the task were Yes-men, not competent viewers of good accuracy. I objected to this blatant attempt to get a report to substantiate a recommendation to go to war in Iraq, and wrote a memo to Clinton, Tenet, and the head of the JCS, and a few of the other military people that I thought should know the truth. I was then ordered by Tenet not to communicate with anyone without his permission. As Pentagon Generals consulted me about military forecasts as a matter of some routine, that communication ban did not hold up in practice. So, after my Yes-men students wrote a report supporting the Iraq War #2, a member of the JCS asked me to comment on it in writing. I did so, stating the accuracy ratings of those students of mine and pointing out verifiable errors that they had made. Those errors included saying that a particular plant in Iraq was close to making nuclear weapons. The inspectors were sent out and did not find out evidence that that was the case, even though the Yes-men students continued to say that was the case and had not changed any. A second unannounced visit by the inspectors again showed nothing suspicious. When the students were asked to specify where in the plant said weapon's development was occurring they were unable to give a location. Normally a remote viewer can specify a location down to the accuracy on the map if the item in question is actually present. The likelihood that the weapons were not being developed there was thus very high, over 99% all told.

I was not asked to comment further on Iraq's WMD until Bush, Jr. was in office. Shortly after he took office, I was asked again to revise my forecasts on a war with Iraq because my original report was viewed as a stumbling block at JCS. That was the case because I have been used by the Pentagon since 1969 to forecast war plans and my accuracy in it was well-known and respected. This time the request came directly from the White

House to Tenet and then to me. I updated at that time the charts on Hussein's known WMD per the CIA's analysts and then gave my own estimates. Hussein did have some modest stores of chemical weapons, slight stores of biological weapons, and no nuclear weapons capacity. The WMDs he had continued to be almost entirely ones that the US companies had sold him; over 94% of it authorized by the White House. Only 2.6% of the WMD came from Russia. So, during the time the US govt. had been asking me to fudge the data and say that Hussein should be overthrown in a war, they had been approving the sales and continuing to make a profit on them.

At that point, I contacted one of the weapons inspectors and told him where to go to pick up all the remaining WMDs, in the hopes of averting the war. The Iraqis had destroyed much of their arsenals already and what was left was new items they recently bought and a few items that they had lost track of and overlooked or had been stolen. After 2 months of work that was accomplished to my satisfaction. I then wrote a report with that inspector stating what WMDs had been seized, and my assurances that there were no more and a strongly worded statement recommending that he not be sold more of them. This then got me into some hot water, because I was interfering with the war party and the war-profiteering. That report was about in June 2001. There was adequate time before 9/11 for it to be reviewed. Again, when it was reviewed, it was overruled by Yes-men analysts.

Shortly, after Bush, Jr. returned to the White House after his extended vacation in August, I had an opportunity to go with Tenet to the White House on a different but related matter--the so-called terrorist threat. That is another can of worms that someday I hope the Congress will have the courage and circumstances to tackle investigations on. At that meeting I again stated my findings on Iraq. Namely, that Hussein was not a threat to the US if they did not make him one, and that it was wrong to set him and the US up for a war in order to make money and control the world's resources. At that meeting were Bush, Jr., Cheney, Rumsfeld, Tenet, and Rice as well as some generals from the Pentagon and the Army Chief of Staff. That meeting lasted a little over 2 hours. My Iraq "presentation" portion of it lasted about 12 minutes and contained slides of the charts that I had previously prepared. Right after I gave my talk, others presented their reports to counter mine. I was not allowed to rebut their claims although they were absurd and unsubstantiated.

Furious that the US planned to go to war based on these fraudulent reports, I wrote a detailed rebuttal of their presentations. I was ordered by Tenet not to send it to others at the meeting. One JCS member, the same one as before, pestered Tenet until Tenet gave him a copy. He was then fired from the JCS within a couple of days. Documents I reviewed from inside the CIA later showed that he had forwarded my rebuttal to all the members of that meeting. Furthermore, CIA documents revealed that all except Bush, Jr. had read most of my rebuttal and some of them had met days prior to 9/11 to figure out how to undermine my rebuttal. Again, they used Yes-men to produce new reports that were a towering stack of lies and faulty logic.

After 9/11, Tenet, the Bush administration, and the Pentagon were careful not to invite me to any meetings where I might open my mouth. That continued until in about Feb. 2002, when the White House requested that I research a topic related to the conduct of on

the Afghan war and present my findings to them. On that occasion, the meeting consisted largely of the same people, but the Army Chief of Staff was not feeling well and was not present. At that meeting, I again issued a brief warning that war with Iraq would increase the risk of nuclear war from 4.6% to 12.7% and that that could go higher the more protracted the war was. Let me make that a bit clearer. In forecasting the Iraq war outcome, I had divided the outcome according to which of their objectives they can try to achieve; liberation from Hussein and prompt return of the country to the Iraqis, war–profits, oil–profits, and permanent bases for "Full Spectrum Domination of the World". To get oil profits it was only necessary to make a deal with Hussein, not to go to war. To steal the oil outright, would require a war and continued occupation until a stable puppet government could be formed. I distinguished between all those possible objectives in my report because the expected outcomes were quite different. The worst outcome was not surprisingly if they attacked with the motivation to subjugate the Iraqis as part of a Full Spectrum Domination Policy. That was the only objective that required the building of permanent bases. The bases were built, the Iraqis were not happy and revolted. The risk of catastrophic fallout in the form of a nuclear war or WWIII has increased dramatically as a result of this attempt at tyranny at home and abroad.

I hope that you will call me to testify on this and other related matters. For example, in the couple of months before Tenet resigned I was a secret negotiator between Tenet and Cheney on who would take the fall for 911. That means I know a lot about 911 that I have not stated here. Then in August of 2004, Cheney insisted on giving me an off the books assignment to frame Iran as developing nuclear weapons. He wanted me to go to Iran as a physician and treat children on camera while an Iranian looking man rushed in to say he knew where the Iranians were developing nuclear weapons. Cheney admitted that the rest of it would be filmed in Hollywood. Like the Kuwaiti incubator baby story, it was a lie to kick off the war. I had to refuse because it was immoral.

There is much more that I could say on these matters but perhaps that is enough for now. No doubt the parties involved will try to deny that I was ever at the CIA. Indeed my relationship with the CIA has been complex over a period of many decades. I was an "undercover asset" and as such as at meeting not under my real name. I am no longer under cover, and I am willing to speak though it would be safest for me to do so from a distance. I am now residing in Ireland.

I have testified before Congressional Committees before such as before the Senate Select Intelligence Committee on Iran–Contra and on Senate Election rigging by the CIA in closed door sessions. I am not willing to testify again in a closed door session because nothing came out of those sessions except excessive risk to myself. The US administration invokes "national security" to cover up its high crimes. The US needs to be restored to a functional democracy. Thank you for doing the excellent work, along with Congressman Conyers to make that possible.

Sincerely, Sue Arrigo, MD

Former member of the AFIO (Assoc. of Former Intelligence Officers)

Mobile Phone # in Ireland 085 1502636
